P.7.6-99.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

~~191~~ 1896-97.

(2 R)

File No. 86-96

Serial Nos. 1-6

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1-6

In ~~Part~~ B for July 1899

SUBJECT.

Claim of Akkamma to two building sites in the Village of Hettanhalli, Tumkur Dt.

Inwards

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

D 30

Precis

(5)

Petition No. 2410 of 1-4-37

Petitioner - [illegible]
[illegible]
Patel Nandeshwar
[illegible]
Sarpanch - [illegible]
Taluk

Subject

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] rejecting an application for [illegible] about certain vacant [illegible] the above village.

The petitioner has appealed against the order of the Deputy Commissioner [illegible] petition to the Taluk Sabha [illegible] stating that the vacant [illegible] the [illegible] Sabha [illegible] S. No. 15 [illegible] which was not necessary for the village and could be [illegible] the Patel [illegible]

Boundaries: E. by the Patel of Ramgaon [illegible]
W - house of [illegible] [illegible]
N - Survey No. [illegible]
S - House of [illegible]

Kanse forsê'd Seane aad Signatûg
that the Land Right-like, Registered in
her name by Letting the Land agent
according to the Italian Law -

Re petitioner also explained that
another Land Settlement Office
village named Sheikh Ibrahim
(Sheikh Ibrahim) was situated to East and
Nearly that the Right-like Registered
in her name -

Bounded on the South by Land
of Ly Sadiq Ibrahim Sadiq
on the East by Life of Sadiq Ibrahim
to West.
on the North by Land of Ly
Ibrahim Ali Ibrahim
(Vide Indexer).

Whereupon the Sheikh, after
having called to Witness the Petitioner
Standing and to Report whether
the Life (No. Description) Land
found in addition, Registered in Kanse
Ibrahim Ibrahim and that the
petitioner was the Person Entitled
should be stated.

No. 1353 of 15-11-94

The Sheikh accordingly stated
- with a Witness, Report dated the
No. 2.

No. 603 of 20-4-95

Upon Study the Sheikh's Statement
Examined the Petitioner and Standing
and Answers to Questions the Court
by the Petitioner's Advocate
Recorded the Proceedings of the Witness

14-4-95

the Standing Order to the Effect

Jericho.

(1) Ich habe Ihnen, Herr Hilfsrichter, auf Ihre Anfrage vom 24. ds. Mts. mitzuteilen, daß ich gegen Ihre Bestellung zum Gerichtsschreiber bei dem Amtsgericht hierselbst keine Bedenken habe.

(2) Ich habe Ihnen ferner auf Ihre Anfrage vom 24. ds. Mts. mitzuteilen, daß ich gegen Ihre Bestellung zum Gerichtsvollzieher bei dem hiesigen Amtsgericht keine Bedenken habe.

Ich ersuche Sie ergebenst, Ihrem Herrn Schwiegervater Ihre Absicht, sich hierselbst niederzulassen, mitzuteilen und ihn zu bitten, mir gefälligst seine Ansicht darüber zu äußern, ob er glaubt, daß sich hierselbst eine Existenz für Sie finden wird.

Ich ersuche Sie ergebenst, mir gefälligst mitzuteilen, ob Sie Ihre Absicht, sich hierselbst niederzulassen, aufgegeben haben.

24-6-95

Ich ersuche Sie ergebenst, mir gefälligst mitzuteilen, ob Sie Ihre Absicht, sich hierselbst niederzulassen, aufgegeben haben.

24-6-95

Ich ersuche Sie ergebenst, mir gefälligst mitzuteilen, ob Sie Ihre Absicht, sich hierselbst niederzulassen, aufgegeben haben.

No. 730 25-6-95

Head of Agent Sile

the 2nd Pulaski Sile, stated re-
-ported that Pitt Range founded
the petitioner did not definitely state
how long ago the above Sile here used
as Indian Pillar and their help-
that the Chairman stated that he
did not know anything about the
construction of the hospital - that there
were no records in the Agency and
that as the 2nd Sile delegates left.
it was not known as the Sile that
files.

Whereupon the Chairman personally No. 230 of 15-11-25
inspected the Sile ruins mentioned and
found the following ruins.

Sile No. 1 is situated 1/2 K. South
village. The foot path to it is rather
broken now. It has been burned
and damaged in several places. Some
bricks can have been taken to it.
The Kanawa Pillar, burned and
it has been the case of the immunization
of pillar.

Sile No. 2 is the Post Building. The
temp. post. Sepi Sali (Judge). It contains
the Portrait of Police and Assistant
the Sub Station of Village Security Guard
the Village until Stand. Here.

The Sile of the above ruins Sile No. 230 of 15-11-25

file be stated by the Counties Secretary
that in Excise case ... file be struck out.
Resolved that it would
the Village officials would not have right
quit without proceedings against them.
5. The Village Trustees Minutes relating
to the effect that the Police Constables
Petitions ... that Magistrates Inspection
and Engagement Allowance ... be free
from Income Tax and that Magistrates
and Inspectors Engagement be
Short.
4. The Auditor stated that there existed
the Guidance for Police Staff ... Police
Assistant Police Staff ... Steps could be
the petitions and Recommended for Consp.
The Village Auditors, Mr. Statistics, Rules
will be observed

Resolution passed that the Village
Auditors Report be adopted Superintendent
proved by the Chief and the Finance of the
Village Treasurer Regulating
that the Police belong to the Counties of the
petitions and Resolution that the Police
Inspection Report be the same petitioned.

The Mr. Inspection officials Village for — No. 876/29-8-96 & No. 21570/26-6-96
and Planning the Town Council Grant
Stated the Police under Report of
with Main Improvement and Police — No. 2540/7-8-96
the Auditor to Declaration, Report be-
long the Salaries the Police Meeting
be given.

The Auditor the Village Inspection — No. 108 0/20-8-96

6-9-96

Municipalities of the Kandy and Jaffna
Colleges, the Village Committees and the Temple
Trustees. Legislative Enactments
No. 3 of 1896 and Ordinances:-

No. 5 of 30-9-96

No. 6 of 30-9-96

The Kandy, Jaffna and Galle Municipalities
Ordinance, No. 6 of 1896 - An Ordinance
to provide for the Municipal Government
of the Towns of Kandy, Jaffna and Galle.
[illegible] Whereas it is expedient
to provide for the Municipal Government
of the Towns of Kandy, Jaffna and Galle
in the Island of Ceylon: It is hereby
enacted by the Governor of Ceylon with the
advice and consent of the Legislative Council
thereof as follows:-

6-9-96

The Municipalities of Kandy, Galle
and Jaffna - Election of Members
- Qualification of Voters - Disqualification
- Registration - Appeals - Election
Expenses - Election Petitions - Corrupt
and Illegal Practices - Election Agents
- Election Petitions - Inquiry by
Commissioner - Report of Commissioner -

No. 8709/16-12-90

The above petition was placed ^(after some enquiry) with the file with the records of the case.

No. 1830/10-2-97

On 10-2-97 the Dy. Commissioner passed the following orders:

On 27-10-95 Tahsildar Ramanathapuram decided that the two sites disputed for by Ettamma belonged to Govt.;

On 15-12-95 an application having been received for one of these sites from one Chennamma the Tahsildar [illegible] [illegible] the applicant for [illegible].

On 16-5-96 Ettamma preferred an appeal to this office urging that the sites belonged to her. Ettamma having kept quiet over the orders passed by the Tahsildar on 27-10-95 deciding that the sites belonged to Govt. without preferring her appeal and [illegible] claiming her rights now after the disposal of the site otherwise i.e. on 16-5-96 preferred the appeal which cannot be admitted. Consequently Ettamma's appeal is rejected. The sale in Chennamma's name is confirmed. Take further steps in the matter. The Government [illegible] the petitioner's [illegible] is forwarded herewith. Issue the same to the applicant [illegible] a copy thereof.

On [illegible]-4-97 petitioner Ettamma preferred an appeal to Govt. against the above

Order refusing to file petition of appeal

(1) The finding of the Assessment Committee is final & conclusive unless an appeal is duly lodged with the Recorder.

(2) The words "and the person which formed the basis of the valuation in such petition" are omitted as unnecessary and misleading.

(3) The Assessment Committee's appeal against the valuation and proposed assessment of petitioner, for building purposes and also for Coloured persons' dwellings.

The Valuer accordingly assessed the Petitioner's land at £1 per morgen & valued the dwelling at £60 which clearly states that the Petitioner's land is not ripe for building purposes, but is corroborated by the evidence of Mr Rees respectable Farmer called by the Petitioner

(4) Inasmuch as the Petitioner himself personally refused the £1, and denied that the Petitioner was fairly assessed & valued at £1 per morgen, without giving due weight to the above reported finding of the Assessment Committee which was the basis of valuation in the Petitioner's petition of appeal (after due (2) consideration) and confirmed the sale

5. The Petitioner's Petition & Affidavit does not specify - Nor was it drawn up in proper form - It was not drawn up by a Notary Public or Commissioner, nor was it sworn to before a Justice of the Peace, nor was it drawn up by

her absence.

6. He shall keep and the Castle of Ville
keep through [illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] of the Castle of Ville
safe to [illegible].

7. He shall not accept or receive
in the Castle-door [illegible]
-ship shall not [illegible]
[illegible] of the Castle to
[illegible]. Here are [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible]

8. He shall [illegible]
regularly and [illegible]
Governor the proceedings which
[illegible] to establish that the Castle
to [illegible] [illegible]
-nish to [illegible].

9. He shall [illegible] day [illegible]
Castle [illegible] to [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible]

He shall also keep for [illegible]
[illegible] day [illegible].

10. He shall [illegible] day [illegible]
[illegible] to [illegible]
[illegible] with the [illegible]
[illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible]

Ref
1586 0/20-4-97

of this appeal.

The Dy. Comr in submitting the Records states that the procedure followed in the matter of this appeal is not in accordance with the Rules framed under the Religious Institutions Act. Hence the appeal is not before me.

Rs. 534 3/2-12-97

BK
17-12-97.

The Dy. Comr submits as called for a sketch of the sites claimed by the petitioner Mukkamma together with the entire records. (See the Cash-book in Rev Records)

Dy Comr's No 427 of 14th Jan 97.

Mdk
23.4.99

The points for consideration in the case are

(1) Is not the pet^r estopped from setting up her claim to the 2 sites, she having become aware of the amount's order rejecting the claim on 11/11/95 & the date of the appeal to the Comr being 19/5/96 ?

x vide the signature taken on the carr's order

(2) If this preliminary objection be decided in favour of the pet^r, then it becomes necessary to enquire into the pet^r's claim on the merits

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 103 of 1896-97

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Akkamma		Channavira Devaru

Dispute regarding the building sites in the villages of Nellenkalli, Tumkur Taluk.

Sec^{n} 136 L Rent.

List of papers in English

(1) Appeal petition
(2) Official Memorandum
(3) Dy Comm^{r}'s letter
(4) Sketch
(5) Precis.

Get a proper & accurate sketch of the whole ground showing the sites in dispute, & their surroundings with proper details descriptive of the land &c to the Commissioner, & also what land was originally belonging to Rangappa

20/1/98

Reported to 29th March 1897.

22/1/98

22-3-99

29/3/99

Dft pmt slip Blue 24/

29.3.99 P.T.O.

970

Repeated for Lensing on 3rd Aug 1879.

11c22

Abstract portion

LR 84-96-1

S.L.N.R.

2/4/97

(1)

1897

with date remainder portion.

2979 6-6-97

15/4/97

1) In time

2) Stamps sufficient

3) Appeal against D/Comm's order

respecting Pet's appeal as time-

barred

4) Counsel case (as regards) of case

& Enquiry note)

Pet's ground of appeal is that

her appeal to D/Comm was not

out-of-time as per appeal was

admitted & evidence taken

(by the present D/Comm's predecessor)

Pet's advocate has stamp exemption Order.

Sale to 2nd Councillor

M 15/4/97

Rs 2 Lin

17/4/97.

Return the

record comes-

pondence with

1 - pre-numbered

call for record -

Mr. 4 as respe

Mr. Lingo

19/4/97

Copy

Office

Blue 20/4/97

(283)

No. 2946

Name of Petitioner A. K. Kannaiah
(Sathi Respondent)

Village Pallavanthilay
Gate Tamil

Taluk Jaffna

District Jaffna

Date of Appl 1-4-97

Date of Receipt 2-4-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

1

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the D/Comm's order no 1830) 10-2-97 dated as follows:—

The Sandilan decided on 29-10-96 that the two lots which are in subject-matter of Akkamma's suit are Govt lots. Sale of this was declared for on 18-12-96 by Thambaiyarajah Mudaliyar auctioneer who knocked down to him in the auction sale which he purchased the land that for Rs 29/- Thereafter Akkamma appealed on 16-5-96 stating that the site was hers without prejudice to her claim to the site when the Sandilan decided that it was a Govt site, Akkamma kept quiet and then appealed to Govt which appeal being rejected & the site became auction, hence cannot be accepted. The time is expired. The hearing in which the site was knocked down to Thambaiyarajah Mudaliyar is imprimatur. Further proceedings may become through. Our Sub-Office is sent herewith a copy of which shall be pre-served and the original sent to the D/Comm on 10-2-97

Pet's appeal against this order envisages that

(1) The statement of the Lower Court that the appeal is not frivolous is groundless and is not to record.

(2) The grounds alleged by the Lot Amildar for deciding that the site in question are evidences are false & are not to record.

(3) Ram formerly the Petr appealed to the Lower Court against the Amildar's decision, the appeal was admitted by the Lower Court & recorded the evidence of the witnesses and records & orders that a plan of the site should be prepared & the boundaries inspected thereof - In obedience to this order the Amildar inspected the spot and submitted a map. This says beyond all doubt that the site in question is the property of the Petr, and also when the Amildar visited them, discovered (that that the site is the Petrs) which conclusion proved by the evidence given by boundary and all witnesses.

(4) Besides, the Lower Amildar has inspected thereon and made a report to the effect that this was formerly a building and constructed building on the site (which now in ruins) which is ascertained by the 4 walls standing even now on the land and that therefore the site must have been a building one. Though this report is not a conclusive proof of the site being a building one, the Lower Court has decided that the site without bringing any attention to the report of the Amildar on the condition of the spot in question.

(5) ~~Nothing in the effect that~~ The map was not signed and was not drawn up in proper form was not drawn up by the Amildar and enquired villages, the same was done in the absence of the Petr.

(6) The Ambassador and the Rabbi have said if there is a fee, entitled this Court to have such fees but here no such fees were ever taken.

(7) The Court will consider how the lands should be dealt with as the site of the

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECD. NO. 2946 R
DATE 2-4-97

ಸಿ- ಕಲಿಸುವವರು ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಿಸಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರಬೇಕು.

೪ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರಬೇಕು.

ಡಿ- ಕಲಿಸುವವರು ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರಬೇಕು.

೫. ಕಲಿಸುವವರು ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರಬೇಕು.

ಇ- ಕಲಿಸುವವರು ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಕಲಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಸಂಪನ್ಮೂಲಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರಬೇಕು.

ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರುಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೭ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರುಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೮ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರುಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೯ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರುಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೧೦ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರುಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿದ ಬರಹಗಾರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಪೂವಯ್ಯ ಇವರು ಸಹ ಸದರಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಪತ್ರದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರುವಾಗ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರಿಗೆ ಮೋಸ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು.

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರುವಾಗ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರಿಗೆ ಮೋಸ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು.

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರುವಾಗ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರಿಗೆ ಮೋಸ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು.

ಅಂಗಡಿಯವರು ಅಂಗಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾರುವಾಗ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರಿಗೆ ಮೋಸ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು.

CR 86-96-3

7-12-97

7/12/97.

8/12/97

The case has been ordered to be posted

1) Prepare a/pers

2) Bring a/c for posting

11/12/97

18/12/97

(General Serial No. 30.)

(6) 1397 (2)

From The Deputy Commissioner Trichinopoly District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Fort St George

Dated 2nd Dept.

Despatched 3rd December 97

Received

Enclosures No. 3 Orders file

General No. 534.

No.

Sir

I have the honour to submit herewith the entire proceedings connected with the Petitions, Certificates, Letters of Administration granted by this Court

Peter H

4/12.97

Read 6/12

Gl. No. 46573 Ref 302 - 4 DEC. 97 REVENUE SWAINS OFFICE

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—6-8-97.—10,002.

No. 534
Rec: 30 Dec: 1897
filed

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Jennison District
Dated 2nd December
1897

To The Secretary to the
Government of Nyasaland
General Revenue Department

Sir,

I do called your Official
Revenue No. 555 Ext: dated 23rd April
1897, I have the honour to submit
herewith the entire proceedings
connected with the Petition of
Akkhanessa Vettivelukkie
the Jennison Estate to state
that the Public Official mentioned
above report the Enquiries
of these proceedings have
been duly furnished with fine.

2. The proceedings the Petitioner
has been directed to desist proceed-
ing upon it pending the disposal
of Petitioners appeal to Government.

I have the honour to be,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner

LR 86-96-3

From (8) The Hon'ble
The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore

To
The Deputy-Commr
Tumkur District

No. 6894. dt 25.1.98
P. 903 / 25

His letter No. 5342-12-1897
submitting the records relating to
the appeal of Akkamma of Hetal-
alli in the Tumkur-Taluk,
regarding her claim to certain
vacant site in the above village

With reference to the above cited
the honour to request that the Deputy
Commissioner will be pleased to
submit
a proper and accurate sketch
of the whole ground showing the
sites in dispute, further enquiries
with proper descriptions, the land
sold to the Counter-Petr, and also
what land was sold originally to
belong to Kempegowda, with the
the Legible.

The records received with his
above letter

X 28-1-98

25-1-98

The attention of the Dy Comr is drawn
to the Govt Proc No. 5234-41 dt 9/4/97 regarding
the preparation & submission of sketches
in appeal suit cases

being

above letter are herewith forwarded for reference and ~~for~~ resubmission.

By Order

For Secretary

C, + C₂.

Draft
Blen
21/1.

Subd LR 86-96-4

Mlly
23-1-99.

Subd 26/1/99

Subd 27/1/99

Subd of 2nd Council to
hold meeting. The case
was received in 1875 Jan'y

26/2/99

RD 9.2.99.

Papers must be called
again in due
course
Mlly
16.2.99

17/2

CC.

27/2/99.

(General Serial No. 30)

Gl. No. 435 / 4
N. J. 39
Per No 203
WAR'S OFFICE

10757-7
1898—99

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur Distt

To

The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev: & Genl Depts.

Dated 14th January 1899.

Despaiched, Sd —

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Sketch file

General No. 427
No.

Submitting the
sketch called for in his
docket no: 6894 dated
Pet no. 903
25th January 1898 together
with the entire records
relating to the case.

Pkt
24.1.99

Recd
21/1

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—6-3-97.—10,002.

Rept put up
21-1-99

Rept put up
21/1/99.

No 427
Rev 17 Vol. file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,

Dated, Camp Yediyur, 14th January 1899.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No: 6894 dated 25th January 1898, calling
Pet. No: 903
for a sketch of the sites, claimed by
M/K Kammala of Hettenahalli, Tumkur Taluk,
I have the honor to submit herewith
the sketch called for ~~in the above~~
together with the entire records
relating to the case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient, Servant,

Nanjunda Rao

Deputy Commissioner

14-7-99

15/7/99

+ Press copy
20/7

+ 21-7-99

[illegible]
21-7-99

[illegible] 2946 P2 (11)

LR 86-96-6

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

—— " ——

[illegible]

[illegible] 18.7.99 [illegible]

By Order

For Secretary

Draft
[illegible]
18/7

[illegible]

[illegible] 18.7.99

By Order

For Secretary